PATENT COOPERATION TREATY





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ation	PATENT COOP			
-USIA	P	CT	•	
anslation inter	RNATIONAL PRELIMI	NARY EXAMINA	ATION REP	ORT
	(PCT Article	36 and Rule 70)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference ORA/JPE/R 02138	FOR FURTHER A	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/4		
International application No.	International filing da	late (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/FR2003/03198	<u> </u>	3 (28.10.2003)		
International Patent Classification (C07C 51/31	(IPC) or national classification as	nd IPC		
Applicant	RHODIA POLYÁMIDE	TAITEDMENIATE	C et al	
	RHODIA FOLTAMIDE	INTERVIEDIATE	5 Ct al.	
	ary examination report has been	prepared by this Intern	ational Prelimit	nary Examining Author
	oplicant according to Article 36.			
	a total of sheets	· •		
amended and are th	e basis for this report and/or she	ets containing rectifica	on, claims and/c tions made bef	or drawings which have fore this Authority (see
	607 of the Administrative Instructions	_		
These annexes cons	sist of a total of	silects.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	ations relating to the following it	ems:		
I Basis of the	ie report			
II Priority	lishment of opinion with regard	to novelty inventive st	en and industria	l applicability
	nity of invention	io novoity, mvoimvo or	op	чрр
	statement under Article 35(2) w nd explanations supporting such	ith regard to novelty, in	ventive step or	industrial applicability
Contracting to	•	statement		
VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application				
' <u></u>	oservations on the international a			
····				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion		
· 13 avril 2004	(13.04.2004)	18 /	August 2004	(18.08.2004)
Name and mailing address of the	IPEA/EP	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

l. **Basis of the report**

The basis of international preliminary examination report is the application as originally filed.

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ٧. industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).